Project #:	20.2

### GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION

		GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION
PROJECT STATUS	<u> </u>	
Check the	e appropriate box:	
Project work is o	on-going using allocated TCRP funds only	,
Project work is o	on-going using non-TCRP funds only	
Project work is o	on-going using allocated TCRP funds and	non-TCRP funds
☐ All TCRP allocat	ed funds have been expended and the pr	roject work is on-going using non-TCRP funds
Project work has	s been suspended	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
•	reason Project has been suspended.	
Project work is	complete	
-	PROCESS (only if Project and/or Phase is	complete, or TCRP fully expended)
	report was submitted on	Somplete, or York Yally Superiosal
	ce will be submitted on	
Close out	report will be submitted on	
There was	s a project savings	
Input prop	ortionate amount of TCRP savings	(indicate TCRP funds savings only, in \$1,000s)
	Go to the TCRP website at: http://www.d	ot.ca.gov/hq/transprog/ocip/tcrp/closeoutprocess/coguidance.pdf
		PROJECT SCOPE
Diagon describe heless	if the preject come has about address	the last Commission annually design for a distance of the last Commission and
	e the CTC approved an application amend	the last Commission approved application/amendment.
ivo change since	the CTO approved an application americ	ament for this project of July 20, 2000.
		PROJECT SCHEDULE

Phase	Scope	Start	End
1	Environmental		
2	Plans, Specifications & Estimates	Jun-03	Dec-09
3	Right of Way Acquisition		
4	Construction	Jan-10	Sep-16
5	Procurement		

Does this information reflect a change in the currently approved project schedule?

If yes, explain the reason(s) for change in the box below:

No change since the April 2007 TCRP Progress Report. Information is also consistent with SFMTA's New Starts Report submitted in

# PROJECT CASHFLOW

## Indicate amount in \$1,000s

APPROVED TCRP ALLOCATION(S)								E	stimated	Rema	ning Casl		
Phase	Scope	Ap Alloc	current oproved ation(s) by Phase	Rein	enditures nbursed as 09/17/07	E	Remaining spenditures FY2007-08	FY:	2008-09	FY	2009-10	FY:	2010-11
1	Environmental	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_
2	Plans, Specifications & Estimates	\$	14,000	\$	5,000	\$	9,000	\$	_	\$	_	\$	-
3	Right of Way Acquisition	\$	_	\$	-			\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
4	Construction	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
4	Procurement	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
		\$	14,000	\$	5,000	\$	9,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-

APPROVED LONP(S)						Estimated I	Expenditure of L
Phase	Scope	Approved LONP Amount by Phase	Expenditures Made by Local Agency as of 09/17/07	Remaining Expenditures to be Made by Local Agency in FY 2007-08	FY 2008-09	FY 2009-10	FY 2010-11
1	Environmental	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
2	Plans, Specifications & Estimates	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
3	Right of Way Acquisition	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
4	Construction	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
4	Procurement	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

FUTURE TCRP ALLOCATION(S)			Estimated Cashflow Need				
Phase	Scope	Allocation(s) Not Yet Approved by Phase	Estimated (month/year) When Allocation will be Requested	Remaining Expenditures in remainder of FY 2007-08	FY 2008-09	FY 2009-10	FY 2010-11
1	Environmental	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
2	Plans, Specifications & Estimates	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
3	Right of Way Acquisition	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
4	Construction	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
4	Procurement	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

#### PROJECT FUNDING PLAN

#### Indicate amount in \$1,000s

Source	Туре		 Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Phase 4
TCRP	State	Committed	\$ -	\$ 14,000	\$ -	\$ -
TOKI	State	Proposed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
STIP-RIP	State	Committed	\$ -	\$ 4,000	\$ -	\$ -
OTIII -IKIII	State	Proposed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 88,200
5309 New Starts	Federal	Committed	\$ 29,837	\$ 14,918	\$ -	\$ -
occo new otario	reacrai	Proposed	\$ -	\$ 134,262	\$ 18,500	\$ 564,683
Prop K	Local/Measure	Committed	\$ 7,300	\$ 10,000	\$ 4,600	\$ 104,100
i iop it	Localineasare	Proposed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Other Local	Local (TBD)	Committed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
State or Federal	Local (TBB)	Proposed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 95,340
Prop. 1B-2006 -		Committed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 100,000
MTC Share		Proposed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Prop. 1B-2006 -		Committed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 100,000
MTA Share		Proposed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Committed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Proposed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Committed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Proposed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Committed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Proposed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Committed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Proposed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Committed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Proposed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Totals	Committed	\$ 37,137	\$ 42,918	\$ 4,600	\$ 304,100
		Proposed	\$ -	\$ 134,262	\$ 18,500	\$ 748,223

Does this information reflect a change in the currently approved project funding plan?

If yes, explain the reason(s) for change in the box below:

As of August 2007, the cost estimate for SFMTA's Central Subway project is \$1.29 B, reduced from \$1.4 B in the April 2007 report. If efforts aimed at "scrubbing" the project for cost savings and an updated, refined capital cost estimate that assumes specific rates of in plan has been updated to increase the amount of committed funds by over \$200 M. Most significantly, committed funds now include Transportation Commission's population share and \$100 M in Prop 1B STA funds from SFMTA's revenue share. The amount of Oth have decreased proportionately. Also, \$4 M in RIP funds for PS&E has been moved from proposed to committed, consistent with CT SFMTA is committed to identifying a combination of local, state and/or federal funding to complete the balance of \$95,340 M. These parking revenue dedicated to the Project, additional Prop. 1B funds, and/or an increase in federal funding.

Date: 10/18/07

n September 2007.

hflow N	eed		
FY 20	11-12	eyond 2011-12	Total
\$	-	\$ -	\$ -
\$	-	\$ -	\$ 14,000
\$	_	\$ -	\$ _
\$	-	\$ -	\$ -
\$	-	\$ -	\$ -
\$	-	\$ -	\$ 14,000

ocal Fu	nds		1	
FY 20	11-12	eyond 2011-12		Total
\$	_	\$ -	\$	-
\$	-	\$ -	\$	-
\$	-	\$ -	\$	-
\$	-	\$ -	\$	-
\$	_	\$ -	\$	-
\$	-	\$ -	\$	-

Estimated (month/year) When LONP Reimbursement will be Requested

FY 2	011-12	eyond 2011-12	Total
\$	-	\$ -	\$ -
\$	-	\$ -	\$ -
\$	-	\$ -	\$ -
\$	-	\$ -	\$ 
\$	-	\$ -	\$ -
\$	-	\$ -	\$ -

#### Α

Total				
\$	14,000			
\$	-			
\$	4,000			
\$	88,200			
\$	44,755			
\$	717,445			
\$	126,000			
\$	-			
\$	-			
\$	95,340			
\$	100,000			
\$	-			
\$	100,000			
\$	-			
\$	-			
\$	-			
\$	-			
\$	-			
\$	-			
\$	-			
\$	-			
\$	-			
\$	-			
\$	-			
\$	388,755			
\$	900,985			
\$	1,289,740			

Yes

This figure is lower as a result of value engineering nflation and project cash flow funding. The funding \$100 M in Prop 1B STA funds from the Metropolitan er Local funds included in the prior progress report TC approval of the 2006 STIP Augmentation. The funds may be a combination of options including